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#### Abstract

The closed-form solutions of surface roughness parameters for a theoretical profile consisting of elliptical arcs are presented. Parabolic and simplified approximation methods are commonly used to estimate the surface roughness parameters for such machined surface profiles. The closed-form solution presented in this study reveals the range of errors of approximation methods for any elliptical arc size. Using both implicit and parametric methods, the closed-form solutions of three surface roughness parameters, $R_{t}, R_{a}$, and $R_{q}$, were derived. Their dimensionless expressions were also studied and a single chart was developed to present the surface roughness parameters. This research provides a guideline on the use of approximate methods. The error is smaller than $1.6 \%$ when the ratio of the feed and major semi-axis of the elliptical arc is smaller than 0.5 . The closed-form expressions developed in this study can be used for the surface roughness modeling in CAD/CAM simulations.


Key Words: Surface roughness; Surface with circular and elliptical arcs.

[^0]
## INTRODUCTION

Machined surfaces with a cross-section profile consisting of elliptical or circular arcs are commonly generated in turning using a stationary or self-powered rotary tool with a radius tool tip (Cheung and Lee, 2001; El-wardany et al., 1992; Hasegawa et al., 1976; Lambert, 1961-1962; Nassirpour and Wu, 1977; Olsen, 1968; Sata, 1964; Sata et al., 1985; Shaw and Crowell, 1965; Shiraishi and Sato, 1990; Vajpayee, 1981; Wallbank, 1979), ball-end and flat-end milling (Lee, 1998; Lee and Chang, 1996), cylindrical wire EDM (Qu et al., 2002), and other manufacturing processes. The finish and functional performance of a machined surface are characterized and quantified by the surface roughness parameters (De-Chiffre et al., 2000; Malburg et al., 1993; Nowicki, 1981; Thomas, 1981; Vajpayee, 1973; Whitehouse, 1994). Approximation methods using a parabolic curve to match the elliptical or circular arc are commonly used to estimate the surface roughness parameters. To the best of our knowledge, closed-form expressions of the arithmetic average roughness $R_{a}$ and root-mean-square roughness $R_{q}$, two commonly used surface roughness parameters, for the machined surface profile consisting of elliptical arcs have not been reported.

In this study, the close-form solutions for three roughness parameters, the peak-tovalley, arithmetic average, and root-mean-square roughness, $R_{t}, R_{a}$, and $R_{q}$, are derived for an ideal machined surface profile consisting of elliptical arcs. The $R_{t}$ for a surface profile consisting of circular arcs has been well studied since the 1960s (Cheung and Lee, 2001; Lambert, 1961-1962; Olsen, 1968; Sata, 1964; Shaw and Crowell, 1965; Shiraishi and Sato, 1990; Vajpayee, 1981; Whitehouse, 1994). The approximate estimations of $R_{a}$ for a theoretical profile formed by circular arcs have also been reported (Lee, 1998; Lee and Chang, 1996). The circular arc can be considered as a special case of the elliptical arc with the same length of two semi-axes.

The real surface generated in machining processes and the profile measured by a surface finish measurement machine will deviate from this theoretical surface profile. The tool wear, vibration of the tool during machining, elastic deformation and recovery of the tool and workpiece, build-up edge at tool tip, resolution of the measurement machine, etc. all create deviations from the ideal, theoretical surface profile. Formulas derived in this study are based purely on geometric considerations. This theoretical model can be used as prediction of the surface finish and comparison with the measured surface roughness values to investigate the influence of the work-material, tool behavior, cutting parameters, and other effects on the machined surface.

There are several benefits and applications of such closed-form surface roughness parameters. First, the closed-form solution can be used to evaluate different approximate solutions. The error analysis reveals the limitations and suitable ranges of approximate solutions. Second, it can be used for surface roughness prediction and evaluation in CAD/CAM modeling of machining processes. Compared to the approximate solutions, the closed-form solution offers accurate results for the whole range of process conditions. It is especially beneficial for precision machining processes, such as single-point diamond turning and cylindrical wire EDM. Third, using the dimensionless form, a single chart can be used to show the surface roughness results of theoretical surface profiles with any size of elliptical arcs.

In this paper, the closed-form expressions of the three roughness parameters $R_{t}, R_{a}$, and $R_{q}$ for the theoretical surface profile consisting of elliptical arcs are first derived.


Figure 1. A theoretical surface profile consisting of elliptical arcs.

The surface roughness parameters in dimensionless form are presented in Sec. 3. In Sec. 4, two approximate solutions used to estimate the $R_{t}, R_{a}$, and $R_{q}$, are introduced and compared with the closed-form solutions.

## CLOSED-FROM EXPRESSIONS OF ROUGHNESS PARAMETERS FOR A THEORETICAL SURFACE PROFILE CONSISTING OF ELLIPTICAL ARCS

Figure 1 illustrates a theoretical surface profile consisting of elliptical arcs. An $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}$ coordinate system is defined as shown in Figure 1. The elliptical arc on the surface profile can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{(y-b)^{2}}{b^{2}}=1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are the major and minor semi-axis of the elliptical arc, respectively, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{f}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{f}{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The feed, $f$, as shown in Figure 1, is the distance between two adjacent peaks of the surface profile. The closed-form expressions of three surface roughness parameters, $R_{t}$, $R_{a}$, and $R_{q}$, for this surface profile with elliptical arcs are derived in the following three sections. The calculation of three parameters, $a, b$, and $f$, for a cross-section profile on the surface machined by flat-end milling is presented in Appendix A.

## Peak-to-Valley Roughness $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$

The peak-to-valley surface roughness, $R_{t}$, of the theoretical profile, as defined in Figure 1, can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{t}=b-\frac{b}{a} \sqrt{a^{2}-\frac{f^{2}}{4}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Arithmetic Average Roughness $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$

According to ASME B46.1 (1995) and ISO 4287 (1997), the reference mean line in surface roughness is either the least squares mean line or filtered mean line. The least squares mean line is selected. The least squares mean line of this theoretical profile is a straight line parallel to the X-axis, $y=\bar{y}$. Based on the definition of least squares mean line,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \int_{-f / 2}^{f / 2}(y-\bar{y})^{2} d x}{\partial \bar{y}}=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{y}=\frac{1}{f} \int_{-f / 2}^{f / 2} y d x \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to simplify the expressions of $\bar{y}, R_{a}$, and $R_{q}$, a parameter $S(x)$ is first defined.

$$
\begin{align*}
S(x) & =\int y d x=\int\left(b-\frac{b}{a} \sqrt{a^{2}-x^{2}}\right) d x \\
& =b x-\frac{b}{2 a} x \sqrt{a^{2}-x^{2}}-\frac{a b}{2} \arcsin \left(\frac{x}{a}\right) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Using $S(x), \bar{y}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{y}=\frac{2}{f} \int_{0}^{f / 2} y d x=\frac{2}{f}\left[S\left(\frac{f}{2}\right)-S(0)\right]=\frac{2}{f} S\left(\frac{f}{2}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define another parameter $x_{c}$ as the $x$ coordinate where $y\left(x_{c}\right)=\bar{y}$ on the theoretical profile.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{c}=\frac{a}{b} \sqrt{2 b \bar{y}-\bar{y}^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The arithmetic average surface finish $R_{a}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{a}=\frac{1}{f} \int_{-f / 2}^{f / 2}|y-\bar{y}| d x \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the surface profile consisting of elliptical arcs shown in Figure 1, the closed-form expression of $R_{a}$ can be derived and simplified with the pre-defined parameters, $x_{c}, \bar{y}$, and $S(x)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{a}=\frac{2}{f}\left[\int_{0}^{x_{c}}(\bar{y}-y) d x+\int_{x_{c}}^{f / 2}(y-\bar{y}) d x\right]=\frac{2}{f}\left[x_{c} \cdot \bar{y}-S\left(x_{c}\right)\right] \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{c}, \bar{y}$, and $S\left(x_{c}\right)$ are functions of $f$.

## Root-Mean-Square Roughness $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$

The root-mean-square roughness, $R_{q}$, is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{q}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{f} \int_{-f / 2}^{f / 2}(y-\bar{y})^{2} d x} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The closed-form expression of $R_{q}$ for the theoretical surface profile shown in Figure 1 can be represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{q}=\sqrt{\frac{2}{f} \int_{0}^{f / 2}\left(y^{2}-2 y \bar{y}+\bar{y}^{2}\right) d x}=\sqrt{-\bar{y}^{2}+2 b \bar{y}-\frac{f^{2} b^{2}}{12 a^{2}}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Instead of using the above implicit form, another method using the parametric form to derive the closed-form solution of the surface roughness parameters are summarized in the Appendix B. These two methods give different expressions but same results for the three surface roughness parameters.

## ROUGHNESS PARAMETERS IN DIMENSIONLESS FORM

In this section, the dimensionless form expressions of three surface roughness parameters are presented. The three dimensionless parameters, $R_{t} / b, R_{a} / b$, and $R_{q} / b$, are expressed as functions of another dimensionless parameter, $f / a$, which ranges from 0 to 2. Using the dimensionless form, a single chart can be used to show the surface roughness results of theoretical surface profiles with any size of elliptical arcs. Different tools, ranging from sharp single-point diamond turning tools with 0.1 mm tool radius, to conventional turning tools with 1 mm tool nose radius and to ball endmilling tools with 5 mm tool radius, can generate elliptical or circular arcs of various sizes.

## Peak-to-Valley Roughness $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$

Eq. 3 is rearranged to present the dimensionless peak-to-valley roughness, $R_{t} / b$, as a function of fla.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{R_{t}}{b}=1-\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{f}{a}\right)^{2}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar dimensionless expression for a theoretical surface profile consisting of circular arcs has been derived by Shaw and Crowell (Shaw and Crowell, 1965).

## Arithmetic Average Roughness $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$

The $S\left(x_{c}\right), \bar{y}$, and $x_{c}$ in Eqs. 6, 7, and 8 are first rewritten as functions of the dimensionless parameter, f/a.

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{y} & =\frac{2}{f} S\left(\frac{f}{2}\right)=b \cdot f_{1}\left(\frac{f}{a}\right)  \tag{14}\\
x_{c} & =\frac{a}{b} \sqrt{2 b \bar{y}-\bar{y}^{2}}=a \cdot f_{2}\left(\frac{f}{a}\right)  \tag{15}\\
S\left(x_{c}\right) & =b x_{c}-\frac{b}{2 a} x_{c} \sqrt{a^{2}-x_{c}^{2}}-\frac{a b}{2} \arcsin \left(\frac{x_{c}}{a}\right)=a b \cdot f_{3}\left(\frac{f}{a}\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1}\left(\frac{f}{a}\right) & =1-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{f}{a}\right)^{2}}-\frac{a}{f} \arcsin \left(\frac{f}{2 a}\right)  \tag{17}\\
f_{2}\left(\frac{f}{a}\right) & =\sqrt{2 f_{1}\left(\frac{f}{a}\right)-f_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{f}{a}\right)}  \tag{18}\\
f_{3}\left(\frac{f}{a}\right) & =f_{2}\left(\frac{f}{a}\right)-\frac{1}{2} f_{2}\left(\frac{f}{a}\right) \sqrt{1-f_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{f}{a}\right)}-\frac{1}{2} \arcsin \left(f_{2}\left(\frac{f}{a}\right)\right) \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting Eqs. $14-16$ into Eq. $10, R_{a} / b$ can be expressed in the dimensionless form.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{R_{a}}{b}=\frac{1}{b}\left[\frac{2}{f}\left(x_{c} \bar{y}-S\left(x_{c}\right)\right)\right]=f_{a}\left(\frac{f}{a}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a}\left(\frac{f}{a}\right)=2 \frac{a}{f} \cdot\left[f_{1}\left(\frac{f}{a}\right) f_{2}\left(\frac{f}{a}\right)-f_{3}\left(\frac{f}{a}\right)\right] \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Root-Mean-Square Roughness $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$

Substituting $\bar{y}$ in Eq. 14 to Eq. $12, R_{q} / b$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{R_{q}}{b}=\frac{1}{b} \sqrt{-\bar{y}^{2}+2 b \bar{y}-\frac{f^{2} b^{2}}{12 a^{2}}}=f_{q}\left(\frac{f}{a}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{q}\left(\frac{f}{a}\right)=\sqrt{-f_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{f}{a}\right)+2 f_{1}\left(\frac{f}{a}\right)-\frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{f}{a}\right)^{2}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

A single chart, Figure 2, can present the three dimensionless surface roughness parameters, $R_{t} / b, R_{a} / b$, and $R_{q} / b$, vs. f/a for a theoretical surface profile consisting of any size elliptical arcs. Knowing the value of $f / a$, Figure 2, as a quick reference, can be used to get approximate values of the corresponding $R_{t} / b, R_{a} / b$, and $R_{q} / b$. The accurate $R_{t} / b, R_{a} / b$, and $R_{q} / b$ can be calculated by Eqs. 13-23. These three dimensionless values are then multiplied by $b$ to obtain values for surface roughness parameters, $R_{t}, R_{a}$, and $R_{q}$. Two examples are described below.

Example 1. Flat-end milling with 5 mm radius tool. Assume the major semi-axis $a$, minor semi-axis $b$, and feed $f$, equal 5,2 , and 2 mm , respectively, then $f / a=0.4$. Using Eqs. 13-23, the corresponding $R_{t} / b=0.0202, R_{a} / b=0.0052$, and $R_{q} / b=0.0060$. By multiplying $b=2 \mathrm{~mm}$ to these three dimensionless parameters, the $R_{t}, R_{a}$, and $R_{q}$, of this theoretical surface profile are $40.4,10.4$, and $12.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, respectively.

Example 2. Single-point diamond turning with 0.1 mm radius tool. In this case, the theoretical surface profile is formed by circular arcs with $a=b=r=0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$. Assume the feed $f=0.008 \mathrm{~mm}$, the $f / a=0.08$. The corresponding $R_{t} / b=0.00080, R_{a} /$ $b=0.00021$, and $R_{q} / b=0.00024$. For $b=0.1 \mathrm{~mm}, R_{t}, R_{a}$, and $R_{q}$ are $0.80,0.21$, and $0.24 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, respectively.


Figure 2. Dimensionless surface roughness parameters $R_{t} / b, R_{q} / b$, and $R_{d} / b$ vs. fla.

## APPROXIMATE SOLUTIONS

Approximate solutions of the three roughness parameters for the theoretical surface profile consisting of elliptical arcs are presented. Two approximate solutions, one based on the parabolic approximation and another further simplified approximation, are derived. Results and comparisons to closed-form solutions are given in the following sections.

## Approximations of the Peak-to-Valley Roughness $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$

Assuming $R_{t}$ is small and the higher order term $R_{t}^{2}$ can be neglected, the peak-tovalley roughness $R_{t}$ of the theoretical profile consisting of elliptical arcs can be simplified to

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{t} \cong \frac{f^{2} b}{8 a^{2}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $a$ and $b$ by $r$ in Eq. 24, the peak-to-valley roughness $R_{t}$ of a theoretical surface profile consisting of circular arcs is $R_{t} \cong f^{2} / 8 r$, which has been reported in references (Cheung and Lee, 2001; Lambert, 1961-1962; Olsen, 1968; Sata, 1964; Shaw and Crowell, 1965; Shiraishi and Sato, 1990; Vajpayee, 1981; Whitehouse, 1994). Vajpayee (1981) compared the closed-form and approximate solutions of $R_{t}$ and indicated that the error would be high for a large $f$.

## Approximations of the Arithmetic Average Roughness $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$

In the past, parabolic curves were used to approximate the elliptical or circular arcs to simplify the derivation of $R_{a}$. This is defined as the parabolic approximation. Assuming the surface profile consisting of parabolic curves with the same width (feed) and height (minor semi-axis) as the elliptical arcs, the approximate $R_{a}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{a} \cong \frac{4}{9 \sqrt{3}}\left(b-\frac{b}{a} \sqrt{a^{2}-\frac{f^{2}}{4}}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $f^{2} \ll a b$, a further simplified approximation can be obtained.

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{a} \cong 0.032 \frac{f^{2} b}{a^{2}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting $a$ and $b$ by $r$, Eq. 26 turns to be $R_{a} \cong 0.032 f^{2} / r$, which has been commonly used to estimate the $R_{a}$ of a theoretical surface consisting of circular arcs, as reported in references (Cheung and Lee, 2001; Whitehouse, 1994).

## Approximations of the Root-Mean-Square Roughness $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$

The derivation of $R_{q}$ can also be simplified by approximating the elliptical arcs by parabolic curves with the same feed and height. The parabolic approximation of $R_{q}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{q} \cong \frac{2}{3 \sqrt{5}}\left(b-\frac{b}{a} \sqrt{a^{2}-\frac{f^{2}}{4}}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3. Comparison of closed-form and approximate solutions of surface finish parameters.

Table 1. Error analysis of approximate solutions.

| fla | Error (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Parabolic approximation (overestimate) |  | Simplified approximation (underestimate) |  |  |
|  | $R_{a}$ | $R_{q}$ | $R_{t}$ | $R_{a}$ | $R_{q}$ |
| 0.5 | 0.33\% | 0.23\% | 1.59\% | 1.50\% | 1.35\% |
| 1 | 1.53\% | 1.09\% | 6.70\% | 5.50\% | 5.67\% |
| 1.5 | 4.82\% | 3.47\% | 16.93\% | 13.13\% | 14.04\% |
| 2 | 41.53\% | 33.58\% | 50.00\% | 29.40\% | 33.21\% |

When $f^{2} \ll a b$, the simplified approximation of $R_{q}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{q} \cong 0.037 \frac{f^{2} b}{a^{2}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Comparison of the Closed-Form and Approximate Solutions

Results of the parabolic and simplified approximations of $R_{t}, R_{a}$, and $R_{q}$, comparing to the closed-form solution, are shown in Figure 3. The parabolic approximations overestimate and the simplified approximations underestimate the closed-form solution. Table 1 shows more detailed error analysis results for four different values of $f / a$. Under the worst case, when $f / a=2$, the error is $41.5 \%$ and $33.6 \%$ for the parabolic approximation of $R_{a}$ and $R_{q}$ and $50.0 \%, 29.4 \%$, and $33.2 \%$ for the simplified approximation of $R_{t}, R_{a}$ and $R_{q}$, respectively. Very small error, less than $0.33 \%$ for the parabolic approximation and $1.6 \%$ for the simplified approximation, can be seen when $f / a=0.5$. This indicates that the approximation solutions do provide good estimations of the surface roughness parameters when fla<0.5.

## CONCLUSIONS

The closed-form solutions of surface finish parameters, $R_{t}, R_{a}$, and $R_{q}$, for the theoretical profile of a machined surface consisting of elliptical or circular arcs were derived. It offers accurate surface roughness evaluation for a wide range of process conditions and can be used in CAD/CAM systems for machining process modeling. The dimensionless form expressions of the three surface roughness parameters were also presented. Two approximation solutions, parabolic approximation and simplified approximation, were defined and developed to estimate the surface roughness parameters. Limitations of these approximate solutions were investigated. The comparison of the closed-form and approximate solutions showed that the parabolic approximation overestimated and the simplified approximation underestimated the surface roughness parameters. When $f / a$ is smaller than 0.5 , both approximation solutions have good estimation of $R_{t}, R_{a}$, and $R_{q}$.

Although a detailed literature survey was conducted to investigate previous research in this subject, it is still possible that other researchers could have investigated this basic problem, perhaps in different format and other approaches. The goal of this study is to present a compete derivation of the closed-form solution from two different approaches and to provide a detailed comparison with two approximate solutions.

## APPENDIX A. DERIVATION OF SEMI-AXES $a$ AND $b$ AND FEED $f$ FOR A MEASUREMENT PROFILE ON THE SURFACE MACHINED BY FLAT-END MILLING

Figure A. 1 shows a grooved surface machined by a flat-end mill with radius $r$ and pitch $p$ between parallel tool paths. The trace for surface finish measurement is at an angle $\theta$ relative to the velocity vector of the end mill, $V$. The definitions of the $X$ - and Y-axes are the same as on the surface measurement profile presented in Figure 1. X -axis is tangent to the valley of the elliptical arcs and Y -axis is perpendicular to the nominal surface. On the $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}$ plane, the theoretical profile consists of elliptical arcs with semi-axes $a$ and $b$ and feed $f$. Two angles, $\alpha$ and $\beta$, are used to define the orientation of the tool relative to the XYZ coordinate. A coordinate $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$ with origin at $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ on the axis of the tool is illustrated in Figure A.1. $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$, and $\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$ axes are parallel to $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$, and Z axes, respectively. Line $\mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{D}$ is the projection of the tool axis $\mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}$ on the $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$ plane. $\alpha$ is the angle between $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$-axis and $\mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{D}$ and $\beta$ is the angle between $\mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}$ and $O^{\prime} D$.


Figure A.1. Measurement profile on the surface machined by flat-end milling.

The semi-axes $a$ and $b$ and feed $f$ of the elliptical arc on the measuring profile are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=r \frac{\cos (\alpha-\theta)}{\sin \theta}  \tag{A.1}\\
& b=r \cos \beta  \tag{A.2}\\
& f=\frac{p}{\sin \theta} \tag{A.3}
\end{align*}
$$

## APPENDIX B. DERIVATION OF THE CLOSED-FORM $\boldsymbol{R}_{a}$ AND $R_{q}$ USING THE PARAMETRIC FORM

As shown in Figure 1, the elliptical arc, with $a$ and $b$ as the major and minor semiaxis and center at $(0, b)$, on the surface profile can be expressed in the parametric form.

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=a \cos \theta  \tag{B.1}\\
& y=b \sin \theta+b \tag{B.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Define $S(\theta)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
S(\theta) & =\int y d x=\int(b \sin \theta+b)(-a \sin \theta) d \theta \\
& =a b\left(\cos \theta+\frac{1}{4} \sin 2 \theta-\frac{1}{2} \theta\right) \tag{B.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $\bar{y}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{y}=\frac{1}{f} \int_{-f / 2}^{f / 2} y d x=\frac{2}{f}\left(S\left(\theta_{e}\right)-\frac{\pi}{4} a b\right) \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two new parameters, $\theta_{c}$ and $\theta_{e}$, are defined by $y\left(\theta_{c}\right)=\bar{y}$ and $x\left(\theta_{e}\right)=f / 2$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta_{c} & =\arcsin \left(\frac{\bar{y}}{b}-1\right)-\pi  \tag{B.5}\\
\theta_{e} & =-\arccos \left(\frac{f}{2 a}\right) \tag{B.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The arithmetic average roughness $R_{a}$ and root-mean-square roughness $R_{q}$ of the theoretical profile then can be derived and represented by the following formulas.

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{a}=\frac{1}{f} \int_{-f / 2}^{f / 2}|y-\bar{y}| d x= & \frac{1}{f^{2}}\left[8 a \cos \theta_{c} \cdot S\left(\theta_{e}\right)-2 f \cdot S\left(\theta_{c}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-2 \pi a^{2} b \cos \theta_{c}+\pi a b f\right] \tag{B.7}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{q}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{f} \int_{-f / 2}^{f / 2}(y-\bar{y})^{2} d x}=\sqrt{-\bar{y}^{2}+2 b \bar{y}-\frac{f^{2} b^{2}}{12 a^{2}}} \tag{B.8}
\end{equation*}
$$
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